1 2 3 4 5 6	Raymond A. Cardozo, Esq. (Bar No. 173263) Emily F. Lynch, Esq. (Bar No. 324055) REED SMITH LLP 101 Second Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 543-8700 Facsimile: (415) 391-8269 E-mail: rcardozo@reedsmith.com E-mail: elynch@reedsmith.com	Derek J. Baker, Esq (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) REED SMITH LLP Three Logan Square 1717 Arch Street, Suite 3100 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 851-8100 Facsimile: (215) 851-1420 E-mail:dbaker@reedsmith.com	
7	Kurt F. Gwynne, Esq. (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Casey D. Laffey, Esq. (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) REED SMITH LLP 599 Lexington Avenue		
9	New York, NY 10022		
10	Telephone: (212) 521-5400		
11	Facsimile: (212) 521-5450 E-mail: claffey@reedsmith.com		
	E-mail: kgwynne@reedsmith.com		
12 13	Counsel to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation as Receiver for Silicon Valley Bank and Silicon Valley Bridge Bank, N.A.		
14	Additional Counsel Listed in the Signature Block		
15			
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
18		Case Number: 5:24-cv-01321-BLF	
19	SVB FINANCIAL GROUP,		
20	Plaintiff,	MOTION FOR CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	
21	NO.	Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman	
22	VS.	Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman	
23	FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION AS RECEIVER FOR		
24	SILICON VALLEY BANK AND SILICON VALLEY BRIDGE BANK, N.A.		
25	Defendants.		
26			
27			
28			
40	MOTION FOR CASE M.	ANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	

5

7

8 9

10

11

13

14

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

27

28

Request for Case Management Conference via Zoom. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rule 16-10(c), and this Court's Standing Order III.B, Defendants Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation as Receiver for Silicon Valley Bank and Silicon Valley Bridge Bank, N.A. (collectively, "FDIC-Rs"), request that the Court schedule a case management conference via Zoom webinar on February 6, 2025, or February 13, 2025, or as soon thereafter as the Court may be available. The FDIC-Rs respectfully request this conference to discuss case management issues, including proposed modification of case management deadlines and expansion of the limitations on the number of depositions.

Request filed as motion because Plaintiff did not agree to a stipulated request. FDIC-Rs requested a case management conference on these dates by letter to lead counsel for Plaintiff SVB Financial Group ("SVBFG") dated January 17, 2025. In repeated follow-up correspondence, SVBFG did not consent to this request. Therefore, the FDIC-Rs submit this scheduling request to the Court as a Motion.

Issues to be addressed. The FDIC-Rs seek a case management conference so that the parties can present their respective positions on the pretrial schedule based upon the status of document discovery and the scope and number of depositions needed to litigate both SVBFG's claims and the FDIC-Rs' affirmative defenses. There are substantial document production issues remaining, including with respect to continuing negotiations over certain custodians and search terms, and the extent of document productions. To date, SVGFG has not produced a single document. With respect to depositions, there are a substantial number of depositions needed, particularly given the number of individuals whose conduct is directly at issue as identified in the FDIC-Rs' affirmative defenses.

The FDIC-Rs intend to describe these positions in further detail in the joint case management statement required by this Court's standing orders filed seven days in advance of the requested case management conference.

Statement on Case Management Conference in Related Case. Last December, shortly after current counsel for SVBFG substituted into this case (Dkt. 114), SVBFG proposed a joint case management conference with the related case, SVBFG v. FDIC in its Corporate Capacity, No. 5:23-

cv-06543-BLF ("FDIC-C Case"), to be held on January 30, 2025. Counsel for the FDIC-Rs informed SVBFG's counsel in that conversation in December, and on several subsequent occasions, that lead counsel for the FDIC-Rs were unavailable on January 30, 2025, for a case management conference due to other professional commitments, and suggested selecting an alternative date.

On January 15, 2025, SVBFG submitted an Unopposed Request for Case Management Conference on January 30, 2025, in the FDIC-C Case (FDIC-C Case Dkt. 119) and the Court granted the request (Dkt. 120).

On January 23, 2025, SVBFG filed a Joint Case Management Statement in the FDIC-C Case in which it proposes to adjust the schedule in this action against the FDIC-Rs (FDIC-C Case Dkt. 130, at 13-14). It was not until the FDIC-Rs received a copy of the filing that the FDIC-Rs had notice of SVBFG's proposed changes to this case's schedule that would attempt, without any legitimate justification, to shorten a previously negotiated and already very compressed schedule. See id. Specifically, SVBFG proposed, in its FDIC-C Case filing, a new case management schedule for its separate case against the FDIC-Rs and presumed that this case and the FDIC-C Case would be consolidated on that schedule.

SVBFG's counsel is attempting to proceed at a hearing in another case to discuss with the Court changes that would affect the schedule *in this case* without the Defendants' lead counsel being in attendance. The FDIC-C and the FDIC-Rs are distinct legal entities with separate counsel. The FDIC-Rs object to SVBFG's unilateral attempt to proceed with a hearing in another matter that substantively impacts the FDIC-R case without FDIC-Rs' lead counsel present.

Motion. For the foregoing reasons, the FDIC-Rs respectfully move the Court to set a case management conference in this case, and to direct Plaintiff that case management issues in this case should be addressed only in a status conference properly noticed in this case so that the FDIC-Rs' lead counsel may be present.

26

27

28

1	1 DATED: January 29, 2025			
2	2 Respectfully submitted,			
3	Stephen Sorensen (SBN 199408)			
4	Bailey Glasser 1055 Thomas Jefferson			
5	Washington, DC 20007			
7	Email: ssorensen@baileyglasser.com			
8	- and –			
9	Lawrence Heftman (admitted <i>pro had</i> David Christopher Giles (admitted			
10	10 vice) ARENTFOX SCHIFF LLP 233 S. Wacker Dr., Suite 7100			
11	11 Chicago, IL 60613 Telephone: (312) 258-5500			
12	Facsimile: (312) 258-5600 E-mail: lawrence.heftman@afslaw.co	nm		
13	E-mail: david.giles@afslaw.com	•••		
14	14 - and -			
15	(Bar No. 173263)			
16	101 Second Street, Suite 1800	5)		
17	Telephone: (415) 543-8700			
18	E-mail: rcardozo@reesmith.com			
19				
20				
21	Casey D. Laffey, Esq. (admitted <i>pro vice</i>)	hac		
22	Kurt F. Gwynne, Esq. (admitted <i>pro vice</i>)	hac		
23	23 599 Lexington Avenue			
24				
25				
26				
27	- and —			
28	28 O TO THE TO THE TO THE TOTAL			
	CASE NO. 5:24-CV-01321-RI F			

CASE NO. 5:24-CV-01321-BLF

Derek J. Baker, Esq. (admitted pro hac vice) Three Logan Square 1717 Arch Street, Suite 3100 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 851-8100 Facsimile: (215) 851-1420 E-mail: dbaker@reedsmith.com Counsel to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as Receiver for Silicon Valley Bank MOTION FOR CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

CASE NO. 5:24-CV-01321-BLF

Case 5:24-cv-01321-BLF Document 143 Filed 01/29/25

Page 5 of 6

<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>

I hereby certify that on January 29, 2025, I electronically filed the foregoing document using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record registered in the CM/ECF system.

DATED: January 29, 2025 /s/ Stephen Sorensen
Stephen Sorensen

7 8

_ 5 _